



SANTA CLARA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT

NON-AGENDA

August 27, 2021

Board Policy EL-7 Communication and Support to the Board
The BAOs shall inform and support the Board in its work.

Page	<u>CEO BULLETIN & NEWSLETTERS</u>
	CEO Bulletin: None
	<u>BOARD MEMBER REQUESTS & INFORMATIONAL ITEMS</u>
	BMR/IBMR Weekly Reports: None
4	Memo from Rick Callender, CEO, to the Board of Directors, dated 8/17/21, regarding Fiscal Year 2020-2021 (FY 21) Quarter 4 (Q4) Quarterly Ends Policy Outcome Measure Status Report.
10	Memo from Michele King, COB, to the Board of Directors, dated 8/27/21, regarding August 24, 2021 Agenda Item 4.6.
	<u>INCOMING BOARD CORRESPONDENCE</u>
27	Board Correspondence Weekly Report: 08/26/21
28	Email from Kat Wilson, to the Board of Directors, dated 8/18/21, regarding her Introduction to the Valley Water Board by Ms. Fabos-Becker (C-21-0130).
30	Email from Gilroy, Morgan Hill Wine, to the Board of Directors, dated 8/19/21, regarding Wine Wastewater Discharge to Stream (C-21-0131).
31	Email from Phyllis Dickstein, to the Board of Directors, dated 8/23/21, regarding Bay Area Water Supply (C-21-0132).
32	Email from Patricia Tind, to the Board of Directors, dated 8/23/21, regarding Water Conservation (C-21-0133).
33	Email from Bill Sherman, to the Board of Directors, dated 8/19/21, regarding Valley Water Information (C-21-0134).
35	Thank you, Email from Swanee, to the Board of Directors, dated 8/23/21, regarding Meeting on Woodland Estates in Morgan Hill (C-21-0135).
	<u>OUTGOING BOARD CORRESPONDENCE</u>
37	Email from Chair Estremera and Director Keegan, to Terry Christensen, dated 7/18/21, regarding Trash along Coyote Creek (C-21-0114).
43	Email from Director LeZotte, to Sharon Doherty, dated 6/23/21, regarding the Vandalism and Fires that have occurred along Guadalupe Creek adjacent to Camden Village (C-21-0120).
48	Email from Chair Estremera, to Teresa Godfrey, dated 8/24/21, regarding Email Correspondence Expressing Opposition to SB 9 (Atkins) Housing Development Approvals and to SB 10 (Wiener) Planning and Zoning for Housing Density (C-21-0122).

Board correspondence has been removed from the online posting of the Non-Agenda to protect personal contact information. Lengthy reports/attachments may also be removed due to file size limitations. Copies of board correspondence and/or reports/attachments are available by submitting a public records request to publicrecords@valleywater.org.

CEO BULLETIN

BOARD MEMBER REQUESTS and Informational Items



MEMORANDUM

FC 14 (08-21-19)

TO: Board of Directors

FROM: Rick L. Callender, Esq.
Chief Executive Officer

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2020-2021 (FY 21) Quarter 4
(Q4) Quarterly Ends Policy Outcome
Measure Status Report

DATE: August 17, 2021

Enclosed is the FY 21 Q4 performance report, which, per Board policy, is monitored by reporting on the Board Appointed Officer's (BAO) Ends Policy Outcome Measures. This report is one of the ways that the Santa Clara Valley Water District Board of Directors (the Board) monitors the degree to which the Board policies are being met by the Chief Executive Officer.

This report summarizes the status of 108 Outcome Measures, grouped by each Board Ends Policy Objective. Overall, in Q4, 84% of the Ends Outcome Measures (91 of 108) are on target or were met versus 84% (91 of 108) reported last quarter; 9% have been or will be adjusted (10 of 108) versus 10% (11 of 108) reported last quarter.

Specifically, six percent (7 of 108) are not on target for the reasons below:

- OM-2.1.1.a At least 95% of countywide water supply wells meet primary drinking water standards.

To address dry conditions, staff is pursuing supplemental imported water purchases to support recharge as well as enhanced water conservation messaging to the community.

There was no change from the previous quarter
- OM-2.1.1.c Greater than 17,000 acre-feet of projected end-of-year groundwater storage in the Llagas Subbasin.

To address dry conditions, staff is pursuing supplemental imported water purchases to support recharge as well as enhanced water conservation messaging to the community.
- OM-2.1.1.e At least 95% of countywide water supply wells meet primary drinking water standards.

Elevated nitrate in South County (primarily domestic wells) resulted in 87% of wells tested meeting primary standards (this increases to 100% if nitrate is not included). Staff is preparing to restart the free domestic well testing program (suspended due to COVID) and will continue coordination with regulatory and land use agencies to address this long-term issue.

There was no change from the previous quarter
- OM-2.1.1.g At least 90% of wells in both the shallow and principal aquifer zones have stable or decreasing concentrations of nitrate, chloride, and total dissolved solids.

This measure is not met for chloride, with only 82% of wells having water with stable or decreasing concentration trends but is met for TDS and nitrate. Staff will continue to implement Salt and Nutrient Management Plans to address salt loading in addition to collaborating with regulatory and land use agencies.

There was no change from the previous quarter.

OM-3.2.1.a 90% of Water Resource Protection Ordinance violations are resolved.

35 cases were opened 4/1/21 – 6/30/21
19 (54%) were resolved within the quarter
16 (46%) remained open at the end of Q4

Although we've been doing a good job at closing cases faster, the cases that come in during the last month of the quarter, are hard to close within the quarter. But, 7 of the cases from Q4 have already been closed since July began.

OM-4.1.1.k Perform 52 annual clean-ups for the duration of the Safe, Clean Water program to reduce the amount of trash and pollutants entering the streams.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic few encampment sites were cleaned up during FY21 Q4. Local agencies, including Valley Water, have limited encampment cleanups until further notice is received that it is safe for all involved to proceed.

(Cleared 29 sites/1,154 CY)

OM-4.2.2.a Agreements with responsible partner agencies are in place for appropriate public access to District facilities.

Two JUAs have recently expired and at the request of the City, we will initiate renewal soon after the 3 vacant engineer positions are filled.

These performance areas are monitored quarterly by Watersheds and Water Utility Enterprise and their status is reported to the CEO.

As part of the Board's desire to govern transparently and effectively, the Board's role is to serve as the initiator of policy and delegator of authority to its BAO's.

The organization's performance toward accomplishing the Board's Ends policy goals and objectives is the responsibility of the Board Appointed Officers. Through the BAOs' interpretation of these policies, Outcome Measures are derived and implemented through the budget process and guide development of project and individual staff work plans. These plans specify operational activities to be implemented to achieve the Board's direction for the organization.

The BAOs analyze and monitor organizational performance through operational Quarterly Management Reviews and report results to the Board through Quarterly Performance Reports, as required by Board-BAO Linkage Policy 5.4:

All policies which instruct the BAOs will be monitored at a frequency and by a method chosen by the Board. The Board can monitor any policy at any time by any method, but will ordinarily depend on a routine schedule. Frequency = Quarterly.

The performance of the Outcome Measures is regularly monitored to ensure that they are achieved and meet the Board's expectations and are used to monitor the CEO's performance.

The alignment of the Board policies, BAO Interpretations, and the Budget Process supports a cycle of continual improvement. The outcomes from monitoring either reinforce existing Board policy or facilitate recommended policy changes for Board consideration.

Please contact me if you have comments or questions about this report.

DocuSigned by:

Rick Callender

494EFB72AD8C4F9...

Rick L. Callender, Esq.
Chief Executive Officer
Valley Water

FY 2020-2021 Q4 Quarterly Outcome Measure Status

Board Ends Policy Objective			Overall Outcome Measure Status ¹
1	E-2.1.1	Aggressively protect groundwater from the threat of contamination and maintain and develop groundwater to optimize reliability and to minimize land subsidence and salt water intrusion.	On target: 50% (4 of 8) Adjusted: 0% Not on Target: 50% (4 of 8)
2	E-2.1.2	Protect, maintain, and develop local surface water.	On target: 88% (7 of 8) Adjusted: 13% (1 of 8) Not on Target: 0%
3	E-2.1.3	Protect, maintain, and develop imported water.	On target: 100% (1 of 1) Adjusted: 0% Not on Target: 0%
4	E-2.1.4	Protect, maintain, and develop recycled water.	On target: 100% (1 of 1) Adjusted: 0% Not on Target: 0%
5	E-2.1.5	Maximize water use efficiency, water conservation, and demand management opportunities.	On target: 100% (2 of 2) Adjusted: 0% Not on Target: 0%
6	E-2.1.6	Prepare for and respond effectively to water utility emergencies.	On target: 100% (2 of 2) Adjusted: 0% Not on Target: 0%
7	E-2.2.1	Raw water transmission and distribution assets are managed to ensure efficiency and reliability.	On target: 67% (2 of 3) Adjusted: 33% (1 of 3) Not on Target: 0%
8	E-2.3.1	Meet or exceed all applicable water quality regulatory standards.	On target: 67% (2 of 3) Adjusted: 33% (1 of 3) Not on Target: 0%

¹ There are 108 Outcome Measures monitored by the Board Appointed Officers. Each Ends Policy Objective is monitored by 1 or more Outcome Measures; the results of which are reported as a percentage in the Outcome Measure Status column.

Board Ends Policy Objective			Overall Outcome Measure Status ¹
9	E-2.3.2	Maintain effective relationships with the retailer and other stakeholders to ensure high quality, reliable drinking water.	On target: 100% (2 of 2) Adjusted: 0% Not on Target: 0%
10	E-3.1.1.	Protect parcels from flooding by applying an integrated watershed management approach that balances environmental quality and protection from flooding.	On target: 71% (10 of 14) Adjusted: 29% (4 of 14) Not on Target: 0%
11	E-3.1.2.	Preserve flood conveyance capacity and structural integrity of stream banks, while minimizing impacts on the environment and protecting habitat values.	On target: 89% (8 of 9) Adjusted: 11% (1 of 9) Not on Target: 0%
12	E-3.2.1.	Promote the preservation of flood plain functions.	On target: 75% (3 of 4) Adjusted: 0% Not on Target: 25% (1 of 4)
13	E-3.2.2.	Reduce flood risks through public engagement.	On target: 100% (4 of 4) Adjusted: 0% Not on Target: 0%
14	E-3.2.3.	Prepare and respond effectively to flood emergencies countywide to protect life and property.	On target: 100% (10 of 10) Adjusted: 0% Not on Target: 0%
15	E-4.1.1.	Preserve creeks, bay, and ecosystems through environmental stewardship.	On target: 92% (12 of 13) Adjusted: 0% Not on Target: 8% (1 of 13)
16	E-4.1.2.	Improve watersheds, streams, and natural resources.	On target: 92% (11 of 12) Adjusted: 8% (1 of 12) Not on Target: 0%

¹ There are 108 Outcome Measures monitored by the Board Appointed Officers. Each Ends Policy Objective is monitored by 1 or more Outcome Measures; the results of which are reported as a percentage in the Outcome Measure Status column.

Board Ends Policy Objective			Overall Outcome Measure Status ¹
17	E-4.1.3.	Promote the protection of creeks, bay, and other aquatic ecosystems from threats of pollution and degradation.	On target: 100% (4 of 4) Adjusted: 0% Not on Target: 0%
18	E-4.1.4.	Engage and educate the community in the protection of water quality and stream stewardship.	On target: 100% (3 of 3) Adjusted: 0% Not on Target: 0%
19	E-4.1.5.	Prepare and respond to emergencies that threaten local waterways.	On target: 100% (1 of 1) Adjusted: 0% Not on Target: 0%
20	E-4.1.6.	To the extent within practicable control of the District, adopt a strategy to restore the salmonid fishery on identified salmonid streams within fifteen years of strategy adoption by creating suitable accessible spawning and rearing habitats.	Outcome Measure for this Objective pending board review.
21	E-4.2.1.	Support healthy communities by providing access to additional trails, parks, and open space along creeks and in the watersheds.	On target: 100% (1 of 1) Adjusted: 0% Not on Target: 0%
22	E-4.2.2.	Support healthy communities by providing appropriate public access to District facilities.	On target: 0% (1 of 1) Adjusted: 0% Not on Target: 100% (1 of 1)
23	E-4.3.1.	Reduce greenhouse gas emissions to achieve carbon neutrality by 2020.	On target: 50% (1 of 2) Adjusted: 50% (1 of 2) Not on Target: 0%

¹ There are 108 Outcome Measures monitored by the Board Appointed Officers. Each Ends Policy Objective is monitored by 1 or more Outcome Measures; the results of which are reported as a percentage in the Outcome Measure Status column.



MEMORANDUM

FC 14 (08-21-19)

TO: Board of Directors

FROM: Michele King, Clerk of the Board

SUBJECT: August 24, 2021 Agenda Item 4.6

DATE: August 27, 2021

Please find attached comments received after the cut-off for Agenda Item 4.6. at the August 24, 2021, Board Meeting.

(Consider the July 28, 2021, Santa Clara Valley Water Commission Recommendations Regarding Letters to the State of California, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, and the Association of Bay Area Governments, Regarding Water Resource Concerns and Increasing Production and Use of Recycled Water).

Michelle Critchlow

From: Jack Caffey <jfcaffey@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 3:50 PM
To: Board of Directors
Subject: Agenda Item 4.6

Dear Board Members,

I strongly recommend that you send a letter to the State of California Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) regarding the Water Commissions's concern about limited water resources, the length of the reconstruction of the Anderson Dam project, and request that the current Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) be revisited to better balance housing growth and development with sustainable levels of water supply. Thereby helping to ensure RHNA requirements are feasible given the available water supply for the planning time period.

I am very concerned about the Bay Area push for housing that will ultimately lead to a spike in our population, with no plan to address our water crunch. I do not want water trucks being brought into our neighborhoods like many urban communities are doing around the world.

Thank you,

John F. Caffey

Michelle Critchlow

From: vishwamber yelsangikar <yvish@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 3:20 PM
To: Board of Directors
Subject: Water issues.

SUBJECT: Agenda Item 4.6

Dear board members,

I highly recommend that you send the letter to the State of California, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) regarding the Water Commission's concerns about limited water resources and the length of the reconstruction of Anderson Dam, and requesting that the current Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) requirements be revisited to better balance housing growth and development with sustainable levels of water demand and supply, thereby helping to ensure RHNA requirements are feasible given the available water supply for the planning time period.

I am very concerned about this push for housing that will ultimately lead to a spike in our population, with absolutely no plan to address our water crunch.

I do not want water trucks being brought into neighborhoods that many urban communities globally have had to resort to.

Thanks

Vish Yelsangikar

Saratoga Resident.

Michelle Critchlow

From: Vish Nayak <vnayak_us@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 3:14 PM
To: Board of Directors
Subject: Valley Water proposed restrictions on hapless urban water customer

[SUBJECT: Agenda Item 4.6](#)

Dear board members,

I highly recommend that you send the letter to the State of California, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) regarding the Water Commission's concerns about limited water resources and the extraordinary length of the reconstruction of Anderson Dam, and requesting that the current Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) requirements be revisited to better balance housing growth and development with sustainable levels of water demand and supply, thereby helping to ensure RHNA requirements are feasible given the available water supply for the planning time period and the foreseeable future giving climate change considerations.

I am very concerned about this push for extra housing density that will ultimately lead to a spike in our population, with absolutely no plan to address our water crunch now and in the future. I do not want water trucks being brought into neighborhoods that many urban communities globally have had to resort to without adequate water resource planning and foresight!

Help!.
Thanks,

Vish Nayak
19287 Bountiful Acres,
Saratoga, CA 95070.

Thanks

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Michelle Critchlow

From: Cheriell Jensen <cherielljensen@mac.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 3:06 PM
To: Board of Directors
Subject: Development requirements (RHNA) outstrip available water and cover critical watershed land diminishing critical water supplies

SUBJECT: Agenda Item 4.6

Dear Board Members,

Please send the letter to Governor Newsom, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) regarding the Water Commission's concerns about limited water resources and the length of the reconstruction of Anderson Dam, and requesting that the current Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) requirements be revisited to better balance housing growth and development with sustainable levels of water demand and supply, thereby helping to ensure RHNA requirements are set in coordination with the available water supply for the planning time period. Please remind them that significant development from SB 9 and SB 10 will take place on critical watershed seriously decreasing the county's current water supply.

I am very concerned about this push for dense housing that will ultimately lead to a spike in our population, with absolutely no plan to address our water crunch.

I do not want water trucks being brought into neighborhoods that some urban communities globally have had to resort to. But even if the water truck idea was feasible where would this water come from? The water tap is shut. Now what? It will be hard enough with the development we currently have. Forced high density development makes no sense.

Thanks

Cheriell Jensen
cherielljensen@mac.com

Michelle Critchlow

From: Maria Caffey <mariacaffey@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 3:13 PM
To: Board of Directors
Subject: Agenda Item 4.6

Dear board members,

I highly recommend that you send the letter to the State of California, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) regarding the Water Commission's concerns about limited water resources and the length of the reconstruction of Anderson Dam, and requesting that the current Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) requirements be revisited to better balance housing growth and development with sustainable levels of water demand and supply, thereby helping to ensure RHNA requirements are feasible given the available water supply for the planning time period.

I am very concerned about this push for housing that will ultimately lead to a spike in our population, with absolutely no plan to address our water crunch. I do not want water trucks being brought into neighborhoods that many urban communities globally have had to resort to.

Thank you,

Maria Caffey
27133 Adonna Court
Los Altos Hills, CA

Michelle Critchlow

From: Chandru Idnani <idnanichandru@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 2:57 PM
To: Board of Directors
Subject: Agenda Item 4.6

SUBJECT: Agenda Item 4.6

Dear board members,

I highly recommend that you send the letter to the State of California, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) regarding the Water Commission's concerns about limited water resources and the length of the reconstruction of Anderson Dam, and requesting that the current Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) requirements be revisited to better balance housing growth and development with sustainable levels of water demand and supply, thereby helping to ensure RHNA requirements are feasible given the available water supply for the planning time period.

I am very concerned about this push for housing that will ultimately lead to a spike in our population, with absolutely no plan to address our water crunch.

I do not want water trucks being brought into neighborhoods that many urban communities globally have had to resort to.

Thanks

Chandru Idnani & Helen Idnani

Chandru Idnani

Lead Auditor, ISO 9001, ISO 14001, ISO 45001, TL 9000

ASQ, CQMOE/CQA

408-373-3212

Michelle Critchlow

From: Cindy Hung <emailcindyblanco@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 2:42 PM
To: Board of Directors
Subject: Agenda Item 4.6

Dear board members,

I highly recommend that you send the letter to the State of California, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) regarding the Water Commission's concerns about limited water resources and the length of the reconstruction of Anderson Dam, and requesting that the current Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) requirements be revisited to better balance housing growth and development with sustainable levels of water demand and supply, thereby helping to ensure RHNA requirements are feasible given the available water supply for the planning time period.

I am concerned about this push for housing that will ultimately lead to a spike in our population, with absolutely no plan to address our water crunch.

I do not want water trucks being brought into neighborhoods that many urban communities globally have had to resort to.

Thank you.
Cindy Blanco

Michelle Critchlow

From: Lori Stafford <lori.s@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 2:36 PM
To: Board of Directors
Subject: Agenda Item 4.6

Dear board members,

I highly recommend that you send the letter to the State of California, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) regarding the Water Commission's concerns about limited water resources and the length of the reconstruction of Anderson Dam, and requesting that the current Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) requirements be revisited to better balance housing growth and development with sustainable levels of water demand and supply, thereby helping to ensure RHNA requirements are feasible given the available water supply for the planning time period.

I am very concerned about this push for housing that will ultimately lead to a spike in our population, with absolutely no plan to address our water crunch.

I do not want water trucks being brought into neighborhoods that many urban communities globally have had to resort to.

Thanks.

Lori Stafford
118 Loma Alta Ave
Los Gatos

Sent from my iPhone

Michelle Critchlow

From: Ellen Friedman <efriedman@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 2:26 PM
To: Board of Directors
Subject: Congestion and limited resources

SUBJECT: Agenda Item 4.6

Dear board members,

Regarding concerns about limited water resources and the length of the reconstruction of Anderson Dam. The current Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) requirements should be revisited to better balance housing growth and development with sustainable levels of water demand and supply, thereby helping to ensure RHNA requirements are feasible given the available water supply for the planning time period.

I am very concerned about this push for housing that will ultimately lead to a spike in our population, with absolutely no plan to address our water crunch.

I do not want water trucks being brought into neighborhoods that many urban communities globally have had to resort to.

In my area, Los Altos, we are experiencing a high volume of increased traffic approaching gridlock- we can hardly drive anywhere. I suggest that before additional housing is approved, the commission and associated groups, look at the gross lack of infrastructure (roads/transit) to make daily life livable. Water is one of many issues facing unchecked/unplanned housing gone wild. You are getting us into unsustainable existence.

Ellen Friedman. North Los Altos

Michelle Critchlow

From: Nancy Lietzke <nancy@lietzke.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 2:28 PM
To: Board of Directors
Subject: Agenda item 4.6

SUBJECT: Agenda Item 4.6

Dear board members,

I highly recommend that you send the letter to the State of California, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) regarding the Water Commission's concerns about limited water resources and the length of the reconstruction of Anderson Dam, and requesting that the current Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) requirements be revisited to better balance housing growth and development with sustainable levels of water demand and supply, thereby helping to ensure RHNA requirements are feasible given the available water supply for the planning time period.

I am very concerned about this push for housing that will ultimately lead to a spike in our population, with absolutely no plan to address our water crunch.

I do not want water trucks being brought into neighborhoods that many urban communities globally have had to resort to.

Thanks

Nancy and David Lietzke
21847 Villa Oaks Ln
Saratoga, CA.

Michelle Critchlow

From: Fred Becker <fbecker828@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 2:20 PM
To: Board of Directors
Subject: Agenda Item 4.6

Dear Board Members,

I highly recommend that you send the letter to the State of California, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) regarding the Water Commission's concerns about limited water resources and the length of the reconstruction of Anderson Dam, and requesting that the current Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) requirements be revisited to better balance housing growth and development with sustainable levels of water demand and supply, thereby helping to ensure RHNA requirements are feasible given the available water supply for the planning time period.

I am very concerned about this push for housing that will ultimately lead to a spike in our population, with absolutely no plan to address our water crunch.

I do not want water trucks being brought into neighborhoods that many urban communities globally have had to resort to.

Thanks and Best Regards,
Fred Becker
Resident of Saratoga

Michelle Critchlow

From: Gloria D Kubo <gloriadkubo@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 2:13 PM
To: Board of Directors
Subject: Balancing housing growth and water demands

I have lived in Saratoga since 1973. During that time, I have experienced, like my fellow Saratoga Homeowners, various water restrictions. I have not only monitored my home and yard water usage over the years, but I have landscaped my yard to adjust to the need for conservation of water.

I am amazed and shocked at the proposal to build additional housing in the area. With water at a premium, where is the water supply going to come from to support additional residences - whether single family dwellings, apartments or condominiums?

I have had trees spaced sparingly in my back yard. I have lost 5 trees in the last six months, due to the lack of water. Like most residents, I am limited to water usage to two days a week and during those two days, watering for only a limited amount of time. If additional living units are added to this or other areas in this valley, where will the water come from to sustain not only the existing housing but the additional housing that is proposed? There should be a moratorium on all new building projects until such time that we have the water to support such expansion.

Gloria Kubo
Shadow Oaks Way
Saratoga

Sent from my iPad

Michelle Critchlow

From: Olga Agee <olgamagee@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 5:02 PM
To: Board of Directors
Subject: SUBJECT: Agenda Item 4.6

Dear board members,

I highly recommend that you send the letter to the State of California, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) regarding the Water Commission's concerns about limited water resources and the length of the reconstruction of Anderson Dam, and requesting that the current Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) requirements be revisited to better balance housing growth and development with sustainable levels of water demand and supply, thereby helping to ensure RHNA requirements are feasible given the available water supply for the planning time period.

I am very concerned about this push for housing that will ultimately lead to a spike in our population, with absolutely no plan to address our water crunch.

I do not want water trucks being brought into neighborhoods that many urban communities globally have had to resort to.

Thanks
Olga Agee

Michelle Critchlow

From: Lance Agee <ljagee@me.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 24, 2021 5:01 PM
To: Board of Directors
Subject: SUBJECT: Agenda Item 4.6

Dear board members,

I highly recommend that you send the letter to the State of California, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) regarding the Water Commission's concerns about limited water resources and the length of the reconstruction of Anderson Dam, and requesting that the current Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) requirements be revisited to better balance housing growth and development with sustainable levels of water demand and supply, thereby helping to ensure RHNA requirements are feasible given the available water supply for the planning time period.

I am very concerned about this push for housing that will ultimately lead to a spike in our population, with absolutely no plan to address our water crunch.

I do not want water trucks being brought into neighborhoods that many urban communities globally have had to resort to.

Thanks
Lance Agee

Sent from my iPad Air 4

Michelle Critchlow

From: David Scott <normmargie@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 25, 2021 8:55 AM
To: Board of Directors
Subject: August 24, 2021 Agenda Item 4.6

Dear board members,

I am sorry that I was not able to attend the meeting last night via Zoom and provide some oral comments.

However, I would like to ask that you please send a letter to the State of California, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) regarding the Water Commission's concerns about limited water resources caused in part by the length of the reconstruction of Anderson Dam.

The lack of water resources are not adequately addressed in the current Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) requirements, and should be revisited to better balance housing development with sustainable levels of water demand and supply.

We need to ensure RHNA requirements are feasible given the available water supply for the planning time period.

BOTTOM LINE

I am very concerned about this push for housing that will ultimately lead to a spike in our population, with absolutely no plan to address our water crunch.

**Thanks,
Dave Scott
Saratoga, CA**